Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/030,770	Applicant(s) DE BLOCK ET AL.	
	Examiner Gary K Graham	Art Unit 1744	

All Participants:

(1) *Gary K Graham*.

(2) *Ilya Zborosvky*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *16 September 2004*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
11 and 17

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Changing "to" to ---torward--- in claim 11 since the tongue does not extend all the way to the other end section. Also, in claim 17, line 3, changing "the cover strip" to ---a cover strip--- to correct a lack of antecedent basis problem.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)